

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 KEITH EMMANUEL, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 KING COUNTY, et al.,

14 Defendants.


CASE NO. C18-0377JLR

ORDER

15 On March 14, 2018, the court ordered Plaintiffs Keith Emmanuel, Richard
16 Homchick, and Charles Peter (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) to show cause why the court
17 should not treat their “corrected” complaint as an amended complaint. (*See* 3/14/18
18 Order (Dkt. # 3)); Corr. Compl. (Dkt. # 2).) Plaintiffs and Defendants King County, John
19 Urquhart, and Daniel Satterberg filed responses in which they agree that the court should
20 treat Plaintiffs’ “corrected” complaint as an amended complaint. (*See* Pls. Resp. (Dkt.
21 # 6); Defs. Resp. (Dkt. # 7).) Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiffs to file the
22 “corrected” complaint as an amended complaint no later than Wednesday, March 21,

1 2018, at 12:00 p.m. (*See* Pls. Resp. at 3 (agreeing that Plaintiffs should “re-file the
2 ‘corrected’ document as an amended complaint” (internal citation omitted)).) Plaintiffs’
3 amended complaint may not differ from the “corrected” complaint.

4 Dated this 20th day of March, 2018.

5
6 

7 JAMES L. ROBART
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22